



Available online at <http://proceedings.sriweb.org>

The 1st International Conference on Sciences and Arts (ICMSA 2017)

المؤتمر الدولي الاول للعلوم والاداب

3 مايو 2017 - اربيل - العراق

<http://sriweb.org/erbil/>

On Goldie* -T- lifting Modules

B . H . Al - Bahrani^a, H . S . Al – Redeeni^b

^{a,b}Department of Mathematics, College of Science, Baghdad University, Baghdad, Iraq.

^aEmail: albahranibahar@yahoo.com

Abstract. In this paper , we introduce β_T^* relation on the Lattice of submodules of a module M. We say that submodule X ,Y of M are β_T^* equivalent , $X \beta_T^* Y$, if $\frac{X+Y}{X} \leq \frac{X+H}{X}$ and $\frac{X+Y}{Y} \leq \frac{Y+H}{Y}$,for some $H \ll TM$.We show that β_T^* relation is an equivalence relation and has good behavior with respect to addition of submodules and homomorphisms. This relation is used to define and study the class of Goldie*-T-lifting modules.

Keyword: T-small submodule, Goldie*-lifting module, H-supplemented, T-lifting module, Goldie*-T-lifting modules, T-H-supplemented.



T-* حول مقاسات الرفع من النمط غولدي

بهار حمد البحريني * , حسن سبتي الرديني

قسم الرياضيات , كلية العلوم , جامعة بغداد , بغداد , العراق

الخلاصة:

في هذا البحث , قدمنا مفهوم العلاقة β_T^* على مجموعة المقاسات الجزئية من مقاس M . يقال ان المقاسين الجزئيين Y , X من M يرتبطان بالعلاقة $\beta_T^*(X \leq Y)$ إذا وفقط اذا $\frac{X+Y}{X} \leq \frac{H+X}{X}$ و $\frac{X+Y}{Y} \leq \frac{H+Y}{Y}$ لبعض المقاسات الجزئي الصغير H بالنسبة الى المقاس الجزئي T. برهنا ان العلاقة β_T^* هي علاقة تكافؤ ولها سلوك جيد بالنسبة للمقاسات الجزئية والتشابكـات . هذه العلاقة أستخدمت لتعريف ودراسة مقاسات الرفع من النمط غولدي *-T.

1-Introduction

Throughout this paper , rings are associative with identity and modules are unital left R-modules . Recall that a submodule N of an R-module M is small, denoted by $N \ll M$,if for any submodule X of M , $N + X = M$ implies that $X = M$ [1] .In [2], the authors introduced the concept of small submodule with respect to an arbitrary submodule .Let T be an arbitrary submodule of a module M .Recall that a submodule N of M is called T-small in M , denoted by $N \ll_T M$, in case for any submodule X of M , $T \subseteq N+X$ implies that $T \subseteq X$.The notions of smallness and T-smallness coincide if $T=M$.If $T=0$,then every submodule of M is T-small in M .Also if $T \neq 0$,then $N \ll_T M$ implies that $T \nsubseteq N$.Recall that a module M is H-supplemented if for every submodule A there is a direct summand D of M such that $A+X = M$ if and only if $D+X = M$,for every submodule X of M [3, P.95] .Recall that a module M is lifting if for every submodule A of M ,there a decomposition $M = D \oplus D^\perp$ such that $D \subseteq A$ and $A \cap D^\perp \ll M$ [4] .Recall that submodules X , Y of M are equivalent , $X \beta^* Y$ if and only if $\frac{X+Y}{X} \ll \frac{M}{X}$ and $\frac{X+Y}{Y} \ll \frac{M}{Y}$ [5] .Recall that a module M is Goldie*- lifting, G*- lifting, if and only if for each submodule X of M there exists a direct summand D of M such that $X \beta^* D$ [5].

These observations lead us to introduce the β_T^* relation.

Let T be submodule of M, We say submodules X ,Y of M are β_T^* equivalent, $X \beta_T^* Y$ if and only if $\frac{X+Y}{X} \leq \frac{X+H}{X}$ and $\frac{X+Y}{Y} \leq \frac{Y+H}{Y}$, for some $H \ll T M$.

In section 2 , we define and study β_T^* relation on the set of submodules of a module M .



In section 3, we introduced the concepts of Goldie*-T- lifting , T- lifting and T- H -supplemented modules and we give basic properties and various characterizations for them .

Let R be a ring and M a left R-module .If $X \subseteq M$, then $X \leq M$, $X \ll M$, $X \ll_{TM}$, $X \leq \oplus M$, and $\text{End}(M)$ denote X is a submodule of M , X is a small submodule of M , X is T-small submodule of M , X is a direct summand of M and the ring of endomorphisms of M , respectively .

Recall that a submodule N of M is a projection invariant if $e(N) \subseteq N$, for each $e = e_2 \in \text{End}(M)$. Other terminology and notation can be found in [3,4,7]

2- The β_T^* Relation .

In this section, we develop the basic properties of the β_T^* relation .

Definition 2.1 Let T be a submodule of a module M .We say submodules X ,Y of M are β_T^* equivalent , $X \beta_T^* Y$,if $\frac{X+Y}{X} \leq \frac{X+H}{X}$ and $\frac{X+Y}{Y} \leq \frac{Y+H}{Y}$,for some $H \ll_{TM}$.

Before we give our next result , we need the following proposition .

Proposition 2.2 [2] Let M be a module and let T,X and Y be submodules of M .

1- If $X \leq Y$ and $Y \ll_T M$,then $X \ll_T M$.

2- If $X \ll_T M$ and $Y \ll_T M$,then $X+Y \ll_T M$.

3- Let $f: M \rightarrow N$ be a homomorphism and $X \ll_T M$,then $f(X) \beta_{f(T)}^* f(Y)$.

Lemma 2.3 The β_T^* relation is an equivalent relation .

Proof .

The reflexive and symmetric properties are clear .For transitively ,assume that $X \beta_T^* Y$ and $Y \beta_T^* Z$.Then there is $H_1 \ll_{TM}$ and $H_2 \ll_{TM}$ such that $\frac{X+Y}{X} \leq \frac{X+H_1}{X}$ and $\frac{X+Y}{Y} \leq \frac{Y+H_1}{Y}$, $\frac{Y+Z}{Y} \leq \frac{Y+H_2}{Y}$ and $\frac{Y+Z}{Z} \leq \frac{Z+H_2}{Z}$.Hence $X+Y \leq X+H_1$, $X+Y \leq Y+H_1$ and $Y+Z \leq Y+H_2$, $Y+Z \leq Z+H_2$.Now $X+Z \leq X+Y+Z \leq X+Y+H_2 \leq X+H_1+H_2$ and $X+Z \leq X+Y+Z \leq Y+Z+H_1 \leq Z+H_1+H_2$.Hence $\frac{X+Z}{X} \leq \frac{X+H_1+H_2}{X}$ and $\frac{X+Z}{Z} \leq \frac{Z+H_1+H_2}{Z}$.Let $H=H_1+H_2$.By proposition 2.2-2 , $H \ll_{TM}$.Therefore $X \beta_T^* Z$.Thus β_T^* is equivalent relation .

Note: Let M be a module ,then

1- $X \beta_0^* Y$,for all X ,Y submodules of M .

2- Let X ,Y submodues of M such that $X \beta_T^* Y$.Then $X \ll_T M$ if and only if $Y \ll_T M$.

Proposition 2.4 Let T be a submodule of M .Then submodules X ,Y are β_T^* equivalent if and only if there exists $H \ll_{TM}$ such that $X+H=Y+H$.

Proof .



→) Let $X \beta_T^* Y$, then there exists $H \ll_T M$ such that $\frac{X+Y}{X} \leq \frac{X+H}{X}$ and $\frac{X+Y}{Y} \leq \frac{Y+H}{Y}$. Now $X+Y \leq X+Y+H \leq Y+H+H = Y+H$ and $Y+H \leq X+Y+H \leq X+H+H = X+H$. Thus $X+H=Y+H$.

←) Assume there is $H \ll_T M$ such that $X+H=Y+H$. Then $X+Y \leq X+Y+H=X+X+H=X+H$ and $X+Y \leq X+Y+H=Y+Y+H=Y+H$. So $\frac{X+Y}{X} \leq \frac{X+H}{X}$ and $\frac{X+Y}{Y} \leq \frac{Y+H}{Y}$. Thus $X \beta_T^* Y$.

Proposition 2.5 Let T be a submodule of M . Then submodules X, Y are β_T^* equivalent if and only if there exists $H \ll_T M$ such that $X+Y=X+H=Y+H$.

Proof .

→) Let $X \beta_T^* Y$. Then $\frac{X+Y}{X} \leq \frac{X+H}{X}$ and $\frac{X+Y}{Y} \leq \frac{Y+H}{Y}$, for some $H \ll_T M$. So $X+Y \leq X+H$ and $X+Y \leq Y+H$. By modular law, $X+Y = (X+Y) \cap (X+H) = X+((X+Y) \cap H)$ and $X+Y = (X+Y) \cap (Y+H) = Y+((X+Y) \cap H)$. Let $K = (X+Y) \cap H \leq H$. By proposition 2.2-1, $K \ll_T M$. Thus $X+Y=X+H=Y+H$.

←) Clear .

Proposition 2.6 Let X, Y be submodules of a module M such that $X \leq Y+H_1$ and $Y \leq X+H_2$, for some T -small submodules H_1, H_2 of M , then $X \beta_T^* Y$.

Proof .

Let $X \leq Y+H_1$ and $Y \leq X+H_2$, for some $H_1 \ll_T M$ and $H_2 \ll_T M$. Then $X+Y \leq X+X+H_2 = X+H_2 \leq X+H_1+H_2$ and $X+Y \leq Y+Y+H_1 = Y+H_1 \leq Y+H_1+H_2$. Let $H = H_1+H_2$. So $\frac{X+Y}{X} \leq \frac{X+H}{X}$ and $\frac{X+Y}{Y} \leq \frac{Y+H}{Y}$, for some $H \ll_T M$, by proposition 2.2-2. Thus $X \beta_T^* Y$.

Proposition 2.7 Let X_1, X_2, Y_1, Y_2 be submodules of a module M such that $X_1 \beta_T^* Y_1$ and $X_2 \beta_T^* Y_2$, then $(X_1+X_2) \beta_T^* (Y_1+Y_2)$.

Proof .

Assume that $X_1 \beta_T^* Y_1$ and $X_2 \beta_T^* Y_2$. Then there exists $H_1 \ll_T M$ and $H_2 \ll_T M$ such that $X_1+H_1=Y_1+H_1$ and $X_2+H_2=Y_2+H_2$. Hence $X_1+X_2+H_1+H_2=Y_1+Y_2+H_1+H_2$. Let $H = H_1+H_2$. Then $H \ll_T M$, by proposition 2.2-2. So $X_1+X_2+H=Y_1+Y_2+H$. Thus $(X_1+X_2) \beta_T^* (Y_1+Y_2)$.

Corollary 2.8 Let X, Y and H be submodules of a module M such that $H \ll_T M$. Then $X \beta_T^* Y$ if and only if $X \beta_T^* (Y+H)$.

Proposition 2.9 Let $f: M \rightarrow N$ be an homomorphism and X, Y be submodules of M such that $X \beta_T^* Y$, then $f(X) \beta_{f(T)}^* f(Y)$.

Proof .

Let $X \beta_T^* Y$, then there exists $H \ll_T M$ such that $X+H=Y+H$. Hence $f(X)+f(H)=f(X+H)=f(Y+H)=f(Y)+f(H)$ and $f(H) \ll_{f(T)} N$, by proposition 2.2-3. Thus $f(X) \beta_{f(T)}^* f(Y)$.

3- Submodules β_T^* equivalent to a direct summand .

In this section ,we develop the properties of submodules β_T^* equivalent to a direct summand .



Available online at <http://proceedings.sriweb.org>

Definition 3.1 Let T be a submodule of a module M . We say that M is G^* - T -lifting, if for each submodule X of M , there exists a direct summand D of M such that $X \beta_T^* D$.

Proposition 3.2 Let M be a module. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- 1- M is G^* - M -lifting module.
- 2- M is G^* -lifting module .
- 3- M is H -supplemented module .

Proof .

1→2) Let M be G^* - M -lifting and let X be submodule of M . Then there exists a direct summand D of M such that $\frac{X+D}{X} \leq \frac{X+H}{X}$ and $\frac{X+D}{D} \leq \frac{D+H}{D}$, for some $H \ll M$. By [2,P.44] , $H \ll M$. Now let $\pi_X : M \rightarrow \frac{M}{X}$ and

$\pi_D : M \rightarrow \frac{M}{D}$ be the natural epimorphisms .Then $\pi_X(H) = \frac{X+H}{X} \ll \frac{M}{X}$ and $\pi_D(H) = \frac{D+H}{D} \ll \frac{M}{D}$,By [2] ,so $\frac{X+D}{X} \ll \frac{M}{X}$ and $\frac{X+D}{D} \ll \frac{M}{D}$.Hence $X \beta^* D$.Thus M is G^* -lifting .

2→1) Let M be G^* -lifting and let X be a submodule of M .By [5],then there exists a direct summand D of M and $S \ll M$ such that $X+D=D+H=X+H$.By [2,P.44] , $S \ll_T M$.By proposition (2.4) $X \beta_M^* D$.Thus M is G^* - M -lifting .

2↔3) By [5,P.49].

Proposition 3.3 Let T be a submodule of a module M .Consider the following statements :

- 1- For each submodule X of M , there exists a decomposition $M=D+D^\circ=X+D^\circ$ and $(X+D) \cap D^\circ \ll_T M$.
- 2- For each submodule X of M , there exists a decomposition $M=D+D^\circ=X+D^\circ$ and $S \ll_T M$ such that $X+D^\circ = D \oplus S = X+S$.
- 3- For each submodule X of M , there exists a decomposition $M=D+D^\circ=X+D^\circ$ such that $X \beta_T^* D$.
- 4- M is G^* - T -lifting module.

Then **1→2→3→4** .If every T -small submodule of M is projective invariant, then **3→1**.

Proof .

1→2) Let X be a submodule of M .Then there exists a decomposition $M=D+D^\circ=X+D^\circ$ and $(X+D) \cap D^\circ \ll_T M$.By modular law $X+D=(X+D) \cap (D \oplus D^\circ)=D \oplus ((X+D) \cap D^\circ)$, $X+D=(X+D) \cap (X+D^\circ)=X+((X+D) \cap D^\circ)$.Let $S=((X+D) \cap D^\circ)$.Thus $X+D=D \oplus S=X+S$.

2→3) Clear by proposition (2.4) .

3→4) Clear by proposition (2.4) .

Now, assume that every T -small submodule of M is projective invariant and let X be a submodule of M . Then there exists a decomposition $M=D+D^\circ=X+D^\circ$ such that $X \beta_T^* D$.By proposition (2.4) ,there exists $S \ll_T M$ such that $X+D=X+S=D+S$.By modular law , $X+D=$



$(X+D) \cap (D \oplus D^\perp) = D \oplus ((X+D) \cap D^\perp)$. Let $P:M \rightarrow D^\perp$ be the projection map. Then $P(S) = P(D+S) = P(X+D) = P((X+D) \cap D^\perp) = (X+D) \cap D^\perp$. But $S \ll_T M$ and projective invariant, then $P(S) = (X+D) \cap D^\perp \leq S$. By proposition 2.2-1, $(X+D) \cap D^\perp \ll_T M$.

Proposition 3.4 Let T be a submodule of a module M . Consider the following statements:

1- For each submodule X of M , there exists $\alpha \in \text{End}(M)$ such that $\alpha^2 = \alpha$, $M = X + (1-\alpha)(M)$

and $(1-\alpha)(X) \ll_T M$.

2- For each submodule X of M , there exists a decomposition $M = D + D^\perp = X + D^\perp$ and $(X+D) \cap D^\perp \ll_T M$.

3- M is G^* - T -lifting module.

Then **1 \leftrightarrow 2 \rightarrow 3**.

Proof .

1 \rightarrow 2) Let X be a submodule of M . Then there exists $\alpha \in \text{End}(M)$ such that $\alpha^2 = \alpha$, $M = X + (1-\alpha)(M)$ and $(1-\alpha)(X) \ll_T M$. Let $D = \alpha(M)$ and $D^\perp = (1-\alpha)(M)$. So $M = D \oplus D^\perp = X + D^\perp$. Now, $(X+D) \cap D^\perp = (X+D) \cap (1-\alpha)(M) = (1-\alpha)(X+D)$. Claim that $(X+D) \cap (1-\alpha)(M) = (1-\alpha)(X+D)$. To show that let $a = (1-\alpha)(b) \in (X+D) \cap (1-\alpha)(M)$. Since $(1-\alpha)^2 = 1-\alpha$, then $a = (1-\alpha)^2(b) = (1-\alpha)(a) \in (1-\alpha)(X+D)$. Now, let $a = (1-\alpha)(b) \in \alpha(X+D)$, then $a \in (1-\alpha)(M)$. $a = b - \alpha(b) \in X + D$. Thus $a \in (X+D) \cap (1-\alpha)(M)$. Now, $(1-\alpha)(X) = (1-\alpha)(X+D) = (X+D) \cap (1-\alpha)(M) = (X+D) \cap D^\perp \ll_T M$.

2 \rightarrow 1) Let X be a submodule of M . There exists a decomposition $M = D + D^\perp = X + D^\perp$ and $(X+D) \cap D^\perp \ll_T M$.

Let $\alpha:M \rightarrow D$ be the projection map. Clearly that $\alpha^2 = \alpha$ and $M = \alpha(M) \oplus (1-\alpha)(M) = X + (1-\alpha)(M)$. Now, $(1-\alpha)(X) = (1-\alpha)(X+D) = (X+D) \cap (1-\alpha)(M) = (X+D) \cap D^\perp \ll_T M$.

2 \rightarrow 3) Clear by proposition (3.3).

Now we introduce the following two concepts.

Definition 3.5 Let T be a submodule of M . We say that M is T -lifting if for each submodule X of M , there exists a direct summand D of M such that $D \leq X$ and $X \beta_T^* D$.

Clearly that by proposition 2.5, M is T -lifting if and only if for each submodule X of M , then there exists a direct summand D and $H \ll_T M$ such that $X = D + H$.

By [6] and [2], M is M -lifting if and only if M is lifting.

A lifting module need not be T -lifting, $\forall T \leq M$. For example, consider Z_4 as Z -module and let $T = \{\bar{0}, \bar{2}\}$ one can easily show that Z_4 is lifting but not T -lifting.

Clearly that indecomposable module M is not T -lifting, $\forall 0 \neq T \leq M$, For example, Z as Z -module.



Definition 3.6 Let T be a submodule of a module M . We say that M is T -H-supplemented module if for each submodule X of M , there exists a direct summand D of M such that $T \subseteq X+K$ if and only if $T \subseteq D+K$, for every submodule K of M .

Clearly that M is M -H-supplemented if and only if M is H-supplemented. It is known that M is H-supplemented if and only if M is G^* -lifting, see [5,P.49].

Proposition 3.7 Let M be a module. Then the following statements are equivalent:

1- M is T -H-supplemented module.

2- For each submodule X of M , there exists $D \leq \oplus M$ such that for each $A \leq M$ with $T \subseteq X+D+A$, then $T \subseteq X+A$ and $T \subseteq D+A$.

3- For each $X \leq M$, then there exists $D \leq \oplus M$ such that $\frac{X+D}{X} \ll_{T+X} \frac{M}{X}$ and $\frac{X+D}{D} \ll_{T+D} \frac{M}{D}$.

Proof .

1→2) Let X be a submodule of M . Then there exists $D \leq \oplus M$ satisfies (1). Now, let A be a submodule of M and $T \subseteq X+D+A$. By (1), $T \subseteq X+(X+A) = X+A$ and $T \subseteq D+(D+A) = D+A$.

2→1) Let X be a submodule of M . Then there exists $D \leq \oplus M$ satisfies (2). Let K be a submodule of M such that $T \subseteq X+K$. Then $T \subseteq X+D+K$. By (2), $T \subseteq D+K$. Let H be a submodule such that $T \subseteq D+H$. Then $T \subseteq X+D+H$. By (2), $T \subseteq X+H$. Thus M is T -H-supplemented module.

2→3) Let X be a submodule of M . Then there exists $D \leq \oplus M$ satisfies (2). To show $\frac{X+D}{X} \ll_{T+X} \frac{M}{X}$, Let $\frac{T+X}{X} \subseteq \frac{X+D}{X} + \frac{A}{X}$. Then $T \subseteq X+D+A$. By (2), $T \subseteq X+A = A$. Thus $\frac{T+X}{X} \subseteq \frac{A}{X}$. By the same way $\frac{X+D}{D} \ll_{T+D} \frac{M}{D}$.

3→2) Let $X \leq M$, then there exists $D \leq \oplus M$ such that $\frac{X+D}{X} \ll_{T+X} \frac{M}{X}$ and $\frac{X+D}{D} \ll_{T+D} \frac{M}{D}$. Let A be a submodule of M such that $T \subseteq X+D+A$. Now $\frac{T+X}{X} \subseteq \frac{X+D+A}{X} = \frac{X+D}{X} + \frac{A}{X}$. Since $\frac{X+D}{X} \ll_{T+X} \frac{M}{X}$, then $\frac{T+X}{X} \subseteq \frac{A}{X}$ and hence $T \subseteq X+A$. By the same way $T \subseteq D+A$.

Proposition 3.8 Let T be a submodule of a module M . If M is G^* - T -lifting modue, then M is T -H-supplemented module.

Proof .

Let X be a submodule of M . There exists a direct summand D of M such that $\frac{X+D}{X} \leq \frac{X+H}{X}$ and $\frac{X+D}{D} \leq \frac{D+H}{D}$, for some $H \ll_T M$. Let $\pi_X : M \rightarrow \frac{M}{X}$ and $\pi_D : M \rightarrow \frac{M}{D}$ be the natural epimorphisms. Since $H \ll_T M$. By proposition 2.2-3, $\pi_X(H) = \frac{X+H}{X} \ll_{T+X} \frac{M}{X}$ and $\pi_D(H) = \frac{D+H}{D} \ll_{T+D} \frac{M}{D}$. Thus M is H-supplemented module.



Available online at <http://proceedings.sriweb.org>

References

- [1] F. W. Anderson and K. R. Fuller. **1974**. *Rings and categories of modules* , New York : Springer- Verlag .
- [2] R. Beyranvand and F. Moradi .**2015**. *Small submodules with respect to an arbitrary submodule* , Journal of Algebra and Related Topics Vol. 3, No 2, pp 43-51.
- [3] Mohamed , S.H. and Muller, B.J. **1990**. *Continuous and discrete modules* , London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series,147 , Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- [4] Clark,J., Lomp,C.L.,Vanaja,N.and Wisbauer.**2006**.*Lifting modules: Supplements and projectivity in module theory* , Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, Switzerland .
- [5] Birkenmeier, G. F., Takil Mutlu, F. , Nebiyev C. , Sokmez, N. and Tercan A.. **2010**. Goldie*- supplemented modules, *Glasg. Mathematic Journal* 52 A,41-52.
- [6] D. Keskin, **2000**. “On lifting modules,” *Comm. Algebra*, 28, No. 7, 3427–3440 .
- [7] Wisbauer, R. **1991**. *Foundation of module and ring theory* , Gordon and Breach , Philadelphia.